



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,783	01/17/2001	Gloria Elena Leon Paz De Rodriguez	034621-0102	7176

7590

01/15/2003

Jay P. Hendrickson, Esq.  
FOLEY & LARDNER  
Six Floor  
One Maritime Plaza  
San Francisco, CA 94111

EXAMINER

CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1634

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,783

Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

### DETAILED ACTION

#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

DEA/FCE-1994

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/764,783	1/17/01	Rodriguez	034621.0102

EXAMINER	
CHAKRABARTI, A.	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1634	14

DATE MAILED:

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

#### NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF 37

CAR 1.192©

1. The communication filed on December 27, 2002 is non-responsive to the prior Office action for two reasons: (1) It fails to comply with the REQUIREMENTS OF 37

CAR 1.192(c).

Art Unit: 1634

MPEP ¶ 12.73 Defect in Explanation of the Invention:

The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the invention defined in the claims involved in the appeal, which refers to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters as required by 37 CAR 1.192(c)(5).

(2) ¶ 12.76 Defect in the Arguments of the Appellant:

The brief does not contain arguments of the appellant with respect to each of the issues (e.g., enablement rejection, written description rejection, and indefiniteness rejection) presented for review in 37 CAR 1.192(c)(6), and the basis therefor, with citations of the authorities, statutes, and parts of the record relied on as required by 37 CAR 1.192(c)(8).

Since the response appears to be *bona fide*, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence failed to provide a complete response, applicant is required to complete the response within a TIME LIMIT of ONE MONTH from the date of this letter or within the time remaining in the response period of the last Office action, whichever is longer. Applicant may elect to either extend the period for response set in the last office action up to a maximum of SIX MONTHS or to extend from this ONE MONTH limit under 37 CAR 1.136 (a) or (b) up to SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

**Conclusion**

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7401. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,783


Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

Arun Chakrabarti,

Patent Examiner,

January 13, 2003

  
W. Gary Jones  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 1600